



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of SEONGHO BAE, et al.

Application No: 09/188,492

Art Unit: 2167

Filing Date: 11/09/1998

Examiner: Wassum, Luke S.

Date Allowed: 01/23/2006

Customer: 27516

Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR REMOTE DATABASE ACCESS AND REPORT  
GENERATION AND RETRIEVAL VIA THE INTERNET

## ISSUE FEE COVER LETTER

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 23313-1450

Sir:

Enclosed hereby please find the following documents which comprises the payment of the  
Issue Fee for the above listed application:

- Issue Fee Cover Letter
- Part B- Fee(s) Transmittal (PTOL-85) (in duplicate)
- Transmittal of Issue Fee Payment ( in duplicate)
- Statement of Reasons For Allowance
- "Fee Address" Indication Form
- Return Postcard

Respectfully submitted,

Charles A. Johnson, Reg. No. 20,852

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Unisys Corporation – MS 4773

P. O. Box 64942

St. Paul, MN 55164-0942

Phone: (651) 635-7702

  
Date

**Certificate of Mailing under 31 CFR § 1.8.** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U. S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage for first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS ISSUE FEE, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

By:

  
M. A. Hubbard

Date:

02/09/06



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re application of SEONGHO BAE, et. al

Application No.: 09/188,492.

Art Unit: 2167

Filing Date: 11/09/1998

Examiner: Wassum, Luke S.

Date Allowed: 01/23/2006

Customer No.: 27516

Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR REMOTE DATABASE ACCESS AND REPORT  
GENERATION AND RETRIEVAL VIA THE INTERNET

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee  
Commissioner for Patents  
P O Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Examiner's statement of Reasons for Allowance implies that the claimed invention was allowed because the prior art did not disclose certain limitations found in the claims. The limitations characterized by the Examiner, however, if indeed found in the prior art, would not render the claimed invention invalid under 35 USC §102 because the claimed invention includes a number of limitations not addressed in the Reasons for Allowance. With respect to 35 USC §103, the rigors of establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness include, not only a showing that the prior art teaches the entire claimed invention (all limitations are to be considered), but also that combining the various prior art references is suggested in the art or that there would be motivation to make the combination. Unless Applicant hears otherwise, the comments herein are as intended, clarifying in a manner consistent with the law.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles A. Johnson, Reg. No. 20,852

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Unisys Corporation, MS 4773

P. O. Box 64942

St. Paul, MN 55164-0942

Phone: (651) 635-7702

Date

**Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8.** I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U. S. Postal Service, on the date shown below with sufficient postage for first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS ISSUE FEE, Commissioner of Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

By

  
M. A. Hubbard

Date